

Q2005 920513UB


RECEIVED

Ms. Donna Searcy  
May 13, 1992  
Page 2

The February 24, 1992 Commission letter indicates that the Application did not contain proper exhibits to demonstrate compliance with the Commission's rule to prevent interference to Channel 6 television reception, 47 C.F.R. §73.525. Also, the Commission's letter indicated that the Application failed to adequately address protection of workers from non-ionizing radiation in excess of the Commission's standards. The engineering exhibit to this Amendment is intended to address that issue also. Additionally, this Amendment addresses a discrepancy previously noted by the Commission in the antenna tower elevation.

Should the Commission have any questions regarding this matter, kindly contact the undersigned, counsel to Educational Media Foundation of Bryan/College Station. Additionally, I would be appreciative if a copy of any correspondence regarding this matter be directed to the undersigned.

Sincerely,



Bradford D. Carey

BDC/jg  
Enclosures

cc: Mr. Buddy Holiday  
Mr. Tim McDermott

---

filing of this Amendment, within which period of time this Amendment is being tendered to the Commission.